

Appn. No. 09/874,630
 Amendment dated March 10, 2005
 Reply to Office Action mailed December 10, 2004

Attorney's Docket No. 25-0018
 Client's Docket No. P1673US00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No. 09/874,630)
 First Named Applicant: GARY E. SULLIVAN)
 Filed: 6/4/2001)
 For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR LEVERAGING)
 NETWORKED COMPUTERS TO VIEW WINDOWS)
 BASED FILES ON LINUX PLATFORMS)
 TC/A.U.: 2144)
 Examiner: Hernandez, Olga)

RECEIVED
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER
 MAR 10 2005

Mail Stop Amendment
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action mailed December 10, 2004, please amend the application as follows:

Amendments to the Specification: None.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper

Amendments to the Drawings: None.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 15 of this paper.

Appn. No. 09/874,630
Amendment dated March 10, 2005
Reply to Office Action mailed December 10, 2004

Thus, since neither the Nakabayashi nor the Menezes patents disclose the requirement of "receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format *unreadable by the client computer*", it is submitted that the allegedly obvious combination of these patents could not lead one of ordinary skill in the art to the requirements of the claims.


Withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 1 through 40 is therefore respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.

By 
Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)
LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5807
(605)339-2028 FAX (605)336-1931

Date: March 10, 2005

04/06/2005 DSMALLS 00000005 590439 09874630
Page 20 of 20 01 FC:1202 100.00 DA

Appn. No. 09/874,630
Amendment dated March 10, 2005
Reply to Office Action mailed December 10, 2004

Thus, since neither the Nakabayashi nor the Menezes patents disclose the requirement of "receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format *unreadable by the client computer*", it is submitted that the allegedly obvious combination of these patents could not lead one of ordinary skill in the art to the requirements of the claims.

Withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 1 through 40 is therefore respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.

By  Date: March 10, 2005
Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)
LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5807
(605)339-2028 FAX (605)336-1931

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

09874630

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD

Effective October 1, 2000

Application or Docket Number

258 / 278

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

(Column 1) (Column 2)

TOTAL CLAIMS	40	
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	40 minus 20=	20
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	8 minus 3 =	5
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)

AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	42	Minus	.. 40 = 2
Independent	8	Minus	... 8	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM		<input type="checkbox"/>		

AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	42	Minus	.. 40 = 2
Independent	8	Minus	... 8	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM		<input type="checkbox"/>		

AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	42	Minus	.. 40 = 2
Independent	8	Minus	... 8	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM		<input type="checkbox"/>		

* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

SMALL ENTITY
TYPE OR OTHER THAN
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEES	RATE	FEES
BASIC FEE	355.00	OR BASIC FEE	710.00
X\$ 9=		OR X\$18=	360
X40=		OR X80=	400
+135=		OR +270=	-
TOTAL		OR TOTAL	1470

SMALL ENTITY
OTHER THAN
OR SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR X\$18=	100.00
X40=		OR X80=	
+135=		OR +270=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	100.00

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR X\$18=	
X40=		OR X80=	
+135=		OR +270=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR X\$18=	
X40=		OR X80=	
+135=		OR +270=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	